

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 150 P.O. Box

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,992	02/05/2004	James S. Miller	13768.493	5389
	7590 01/25/2007 VYDEGGER/MICROSO	. EXAMINER		
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			WANG, BEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
	•			•
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/772,992	MILLER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ben C. Wang	2192			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u>	ehruary 2004				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•				
_	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · ·	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
•	•••		Syaminar			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* *			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	·		(a) a = (0)			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) ☐ Notic 3) ☑ Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te			



Application No. 10/772,992

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :10/05/2006, 05/06/2005, 04/22/2004.

Art Unit: 2192

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application and presented for examination.

## Specification Objections

- 2. The specification is objected to because the following informalities:
  - "the determine module 100 may identify such <u>a n</u>" should be corrected as "the determine module 100 may identify such <u>an</u>",
     [0051], line 6
  - "within an existing target component 220, <u>225</u>, and so forth" should be corrected as "within an existing target component 220, <u>235</u>, and so forth", [0056], Line 9
  - "as well as whether the target component 220, <u>225</u>, and is" should be corrected as "as well as whether the target component 220, <u>235</u>, and is", [0056], Line 11

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2192

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

Page 3

3. The following is quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) which form the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Madsen et al. (hereafter 'Madsen') (Pub. No. US 2005/0004942 A1).
- 5. As to claim 1, Madsen discloses in a computerized system that includes one or more program components (Fig. 1, elements 16-Policy Driven Configuration, 20-Component Instance, 26-Components, 28-Component DB, 58-Component Instance) including one or more requesting components that can request to access one or more target components (Fig. 2; [0060], Lines 1-4, 12-15), a method of providing a requesting component with access to an appropriate version of a target component, comprising the acts of: receiving a request to access a specified version of a target component, the request being received from a requesting component (Fig. 1, elements 12-Network Device Data Structure, 14-Device DB, 16-Policy Driven Configuration; [0053], Lines 1-6; [0054]; [0055]); identifying a versioning policy of the specified version of the target component (Fig. 1, elements 34-Policy, 35-Policy Linkage; [0059], Lines 1-

6); identifying an appropriate version of the target component based on the versioning policy of the specified target component (Fig. 1, element 34; Fig. 3; [0028]; [0061], Lines 3-10); providing the requesting component with access to the appropriate version of the target component (Fig. 21; [0047]; [0243], Lines 1-10).

6. As to claim 20, Madsen discloses in a computerized system that includes one or more program components (Fig. 1, elements 16-Policy Driven Configuration, 20-Component Instance, 26-Components, 28-Component DB, 58-Component Instance) including one or more requesting components that can request to access one or more target components (Fig. 2; [0060], Lines 1-4, 12-15), a method of providing a requesting component with access to an appropriate version of a target component, comprising: an act of receiving a request to access a specified version of a target component, the request being received from a requesting component (Fig. 1, elements 12-Network Device Data Structure, 14-Device DB, 16-Policy Driven Configuration; [0053], Lines 1-6; [0054]; [0055]); a step for allowing access to an appropriate version of the requested target component such that the requesting component accesses the appropriate target component as it has been configured to do so (Fig. 7; [0092]; [0093]: Lines 7-10), and such that the requesting component does not fail when requesting access to a component that has been upgraded (Fig. 18; [0210]; [0211]; [0212]; [0214], Lines 5-8).

- 7. As to claim 22, Madsen discloses in a computerized system that includes one or more program components (Fig. 1, elements 16-Policy Driven Configuration, 20-Component Instance, 26-Components, 28-Component DB, 58-Component Instance) including one or more requesting components that can request to access one or more target components (Fig. 2; [0060], Lines 1-4, 12-15), a method of upgrading a target component such that a requesting component that accesses the target component continues to operate effectively after the target component has been upgraded, comprising the acts of: identifying that a requesting component is configured to access a target component (Fig. 21, element 37; [0047]; [0243], Lines 1-10); identifying a versioning policy in at least an existing version of the target component and a previously installed version of the target component (Fig. 3, element 40; [0028]; [0061]; [0065], Lines 1-2); and identifying which versions of the target component should remain on the system based on any identified versioning policy corresponding to at least the existing version of the target component and the previously installed version of the target component (Fig. 18; [0044]; [0195], Lines 6-10; [0210]; [0211]; [0212]; [0214], Lines 5-8).
- 8. **As to claim 26**, Madsen discloses in a computerized system including one or more requesting components (Fig. 1, elements 16-Policy Driven Configuration, 20-Component Instance, 26-Components, 28-Component DB, 58-Component Instance) that are configured to access one or more source components, a computer program product having computer-executable

instructions stored thereon that, when executed, cause the computerized system to perform a method of providing a requesting component with access to an appropriate version of a target component, comprising the acts of: receiving a request to access a specified version of a target component, the request being received from a requesting component (Fig. 1, elements 16-Policy Driven Configuration, 20-Component Instance, 58-Component Instance, 26-Component, 28-Component DB); identifying a versioning policy of the specified version of the target component (Fig. 1, elements 34-Policy, 35-Policy Linkage; Fig. 3; Fig. 7; [0032]; [0092]); identifying an appropriate version of the target component based on the versioning policy of the specified target component ([0088], Lines 1-3; [0090]; [0091], Lines 7-10[0093]; [0094]; [0096], Lines 1-3); providing the requesting component with access to the appropriate version of the target component (Fig. 21; [0047]; [0243], Lines 1-10).

9. As to claim 27, Madsen discloses in a computerized system including one or more requesting components (Fig. 1, elements 16-Policy Driven Configuration, 20-Component Instance, 26-Components, 28-Component DB, 58-Component Instance) that are configured to access one or more source components, a computer program product having computer-executable instructions stored thereon that, when executed, cause the computerized system to perform a method of upgrading a target component such that a requesting component that accesses the target component continues to operate effectively after the target component has been upgraded, comprising the acts of: identifying

that a requesting component is configured to access a target component (Fig. 21, element 37; [0047]; [0243], Lines 1-10); identifying a versioning policy in at least an existing version of the target component and a previously installed version of the target component (Fig. 3, element 40; [0028]; [0061]; [0065], Lines 1-2); and identifying which versions of the target component should remain on the system based on any identified versioning policy corresponding to at least the existing version of the target component and the previously installed version of the target component (Fig. 18; [0044]; [0195], Lines 6-10; [0210]; [0211]; [0212]; [0214], Lines 5-8).

- 10. **As to claim 2**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the requested version of the target component is one of a library component ([0096], Lines 1-3; [0214], Lines 6-8 the specifically requested version) and a platform component ([0212]; [0214], Lines 5-6 the latest upgraded version).
- 11. **As to claim 3**, Madsen discloses the method wherein identifying an appropriate version of the target component comprises identifying a more recent version of the target component in response to a request for an earlier version of the target even though the more recent version and the earlier version are both accessible to the computerized system ([0096], Lines 1-3; [0214], Lines 6-8 the specifically requested version).

Art Unit: 2192

12. **As to claim 4**, Madsen discloses the method identifying a more recent version of the target component in response to a request for an earlier version of the target even though the more recent version and the earlier version are both accessible to the computerized system comprises identifying a more recent version of a platform component even though an earlier version of the platform component remained on the system when the more recent version was received at the computerized system ([0212]; [0214], Lines 5-6 – the latest upgraded version).

Page 8

- 13. **As to claim 5**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the versioning policy of the specified version of the target component is identified when the target component is one or more of compiled, configured, installed, and run on the computerized system (Fig. 3; [0061], Fig. 21; [0242]; [0243], Lines 1-10; [0244], Lines 1-3).
- 14. **As to claim 6**, Madsen discloses the method wherein version information that identifies the specified target component is stored in the requesting component when the requesting component is one or more of compiled, configured, installed, and run on the computerized system (Fig. 21; [0242]; [0243], Lines 1-10; [0244], Lines 1-3).
- 15. **As to claim 7**, Madsen discloses the method further comprising: identifying one or more requesting components that are able to access a prior

version of the target component ([0096], Lines 1-3; [0214], Lines 6-8 – the specifically requested version); identifying that none of the one or more requesting components are configured to access the prior version of the target component ([0212]; [0214], Lines 5-6 – the latest upgraded version); and deleting the prior version of the target component (Fig. 7; [0032]; [0092]).

- 16. **As to claim 8**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the appropriate version of the target component is the version of the target component that was requested ([0096], Lines 1-3; [0214], Lines 6-8 the specifically requested version).
- 17. **As to claim 9**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the appropriate version of the target component is different from the version of the target component that was requested ([0212]; [0214], Lines 5-6 the latest upgraded version).
- 18. **As to claim 10**, Madsen discloses the method wherein target component access is provided to the requesting component through a determining module (Fig. 1, element 16-Policy Driven Configuration; [0053], Lines 1-6; [0054]; [0055]; [0065], Lines 1-2).
- 19. **As to claim 11**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the availability of one or more of the prior version of the target component and the more recent

version of the target component is identified by a determining module when the one or more of the prior version of the target component and the more recent version of the target component is received by the computerized system (Fig. 1, element 16-Policy Driven Configuration; [0053]; [0054]; [0055]; [0065], Lines 1-2).

- 20. **As to claim 12**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the versioning policy is inserted into computer-executable instructions in the target component prior to one of installing, configuring, and executing the target component on the computerized system (Fig. 3; [0028]; [0061], Lines 3-10; [0063]).
- 21. **As to claim 13**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the versioning policy is further identified in any version of the target component (Fig. 3; [0028]; [0061]; [0063]).
- 22. **As to claim 14**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the versioning policy identifies that any of the prior version of the target component and the more recent version of the target component is configured to be accessed by a specific version of the requesting component ([0096], Lines 1-3; [0214], Lines 6-8 the specifically requested version).
- 23. **As to claim 15**, Madsen discloses the method further comprising identifying a component scope that is associated with the target component (Fig. 21, element 37; [0243]; [0244], Lines 1-3).

Art Unit: 2192

24. **As to claim 16**, Madsen discloses the method wherein access to the specified version of the target component is further based on one of the identified component scope associated with the target component, and a target component scope supplied by a system administrator (Fig. 21, element – 37; [0243]; [0244], Lines 1-3).

Page 11

- 25. **As to claim 17**, Madsen discloses the method wherein the identified component scope specifies that access to the specified version of the target component is provided in one or more of a machine level, a process level, and a sub-process level (Fig. 21, element 37; [0243]; [0244], Lines 1-3).
- 26. As to claim 18, Madsen discloses the method wherein the requested target component is a library component, the method further comprising identifying a servicing value associated with the requested target component ([0126] for example to create an new attribute → ATTRIBUTE(integer, "servicing"); TABLE 5, ATTRIBUTE(integer, "Distance Metric") as a reference; [0159], Lines 9-13; Fig. 17; Fig. 18; [0043]; [0044]).
- 27. **As to claim 19**, Madsen discloses the method wherein identifying an appropriate version of the target component comprising identifying an updated version of a library component based on the identified versioning policy and the identified servicing value ([0126] for example to create an new attribute  $\rightarrow$

Art Unit: 2192

ATTRIBUTE(integer, "servicing"); TABLE 5, ATTRIBUTE(integer, "Distance Metric") - as a reference; [0159], Lines 9-13; Fig. 17; Fig. 18; [0043]; [0044]).

Page 12

- 28. As to claim 21, Madsen discloses the method wherein the step for allowing access to an appropriate version of the requested target component comprises the corresponding acts of: identifying a versioning policy of the specified version of the target component (Fig. 1, elements 34-Policy, 35-Policy Linkage; [0059], Lines 1-6); receiving a request to access a specified version of a target component, the request begin received from a requesting component (Fig. 1, elements 12-Network Device Data Structure, 14-Device DB, 16-Policy Driven Configuration; [0053], Lines 1-6; [0054]; [0055]); providing the requesting component with access to the appropriate version of the target component (Fig. 21; [0047]; [0243], Lines 1-10).
- 29. **As to claim 23**, Madsen discloses the method further comprising receiving an updated version of the target component over a network from a network service provider ([0016], Lines 1-4).
- 30. **As to claim 24**, Madsen discloses the method wherein if the versioning policy indicates that the requesting component is a library component, adding the existing version of the target component to the system without removing the previously installed version of the target component ([0214], Lines 6-8).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,992 Page 13

Art Unit: 2192

31. **As to claim 25**, Madsen discloses the method wherein if the versioning policy indicates that the requesting component is a platform component, overwriting the previously installed version of the target component with the existing version of the target component ([0210]; [0212]; [0214], Lines 5-6).

### Conclusion

- 32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - K. M. Low, Customizable Element Management System and Method
     Using Element Modeling and Protocol Adapters (Pub. No. US
     2003/0101251 A1).
- 33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben C. Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8:00 a.m. 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2192

Page 14

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BCW ₹<sub>N</sub>/

January 13, 2007

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER